

Addendum No. 01

Date: August 12, 2010

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for Design-Build Services for a new Professional Minor League Baseball Stadium in Sugar Land, Texas

Project No.: RFQ No. 2010-5

Submittal Date: Not later than 11:00 am, Thursday, September 2, 2010 (No change to Submittal Date)

From: Mike Hobbs
Special Projects Manager
City of Sugar Land
2700 Town Center Boulevard North
Sugar Land, Texas 77479

To: Prospective Respondents

This Addendum provides clarification to portions of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) No. 2010-5 by addressing the questions that were received in accordance with the Pre-Submittal Meeting conducted on August 5, 2010.

1. Does the questionnaire attachment count against the 20 page (double-sided) limit that we're allowed for the RFQ?

Answer: Yes, the questionnaire does count against the 20 page (double-sided) page limit.

2. What degree of importance is LEED in regards to this project? If you choose to LEED Certify the building, at what level do you anticipate seeking?

Answer: Obtaining LEED certification of any level is not a stated goal for this project. However, the City is interested in any site sustainable and energy efficient design initiatives, as well as potentially achieving LEED certification, if feasible within the given construction budget.

3. Do you plan to post the sign-in sheets from today's meeting on the Web site?

Answer: Yes. The sign-in sheets have been posted.

4. Can you please answer the following question regarding Criteria 10 (Proposed project cost) in Phase Two:

Given that Section 271.119 of the Texas Local Government Code specifically states that the City cannot require submission of detailed engineering or architectural designs as part of the proposal, what would be the basis of the proposed cost, other than the bridging documents? What would serve to differentiate the basis of one team's cost proposal from any of the others?

Answer: Proposals and associated pricing during Phase 2 shall be based on the bridging documents issued to the respondents selected for Phase 2.

5. Is it expected that upon being shortlisted, the various design/build teams will be expected to further expand on the bridging documents and provide a guaranteed maximum price with their RFP response that will be written into the contract?

Answer: Proposals and associated pricing during Phase 2 shall be based on the bridging documents issued to the respondents selected for Phase 2.

6. The schedule allows 5 months for the selection process, with a Notice To Proceed on Dec. 30, 2010. This leaves 15 months for the Design/Build team to perform both design and construction by April 1, 2012. Is there any way the selection phase can be shortened to allow more time for design and construction?

Answer: No. The schedule was revised to provide the selected respondents for Phase 2 two (2) additional weeks for their proposal preparation.

7. Reference 3.3.7, concerning Section Five - Baseball Stadium Experience. Can one of the 3 projects include a respondent team member's project with a previous firm? Or is this only firm experience?

Answer: Yes, but clearly indicate that the project is based on "Individual Experience", which team member has this individual experience and what role this team member performed on the project.

End of Document